

Exploratory testing – black or white?

PER RUNESON, ELIZABETH BJARNASON, KAI PETERSEN







Exploratory Testing

...a **powerful approach**, yet widely misunderstood

...orders of magnitude **more productive** than scripted testing

...**simultaneous learning**, test design and test execution

James Bach

Exploratory testing evangelist



What is ET?



Exploratory software testing (ET) is a style of software testing that

emphasizes the **personal freedom and responsibility** of the individual tester

to continually optimize the value of her work

by treating test-related learning, test design, test execution, and test result interpretation as **mutually supportive activities that**

run in parallel throughout the project.





Sounds promising...

...but...

- -impossible to automate
- -highly dependent on tester skills
- hard to replicate failures (if testing is not traced)

And, do we really know?



Exploring Exploratory Testing – outline

- Variations of exploratory testing
- Empirical evidence on:
 - -Efficiency
 - -Relation to knowledge and skills
- Recommendations
- Making exploratory testing actionable



Variations of Exploratory Testing

Freestyle

Pure scripted



Test object only

Test goals, constraints

Test object, test steps, test data



Is Exploratory Testing efficient?

Experiments on TCT vs ET

- 46 students and 24 practitioners in 90 minute sessions [Afzal 2015]
 - Test design included in TCT session
 - Faults found (ET) >> Faults found (TCT)
- 79 students in 90 minute sessions [Itkonen 2007]
 - Test design NOT included in TCT session
 - Faults found (ET) ≈ Faults found (TCT)





Empir Software Eng (2015) 20:844-878 DOI 10.1007/s10664-014-9301.4

Is Exploratory Testing Efficient?

- Yes, very efficient if you only run test test case once
- Equally or more efficient, if you only count execution
- Not efficient, if you want to automate



CC BY-ND 2.0 Hans Splinter @Flicki

Knowledge in Exploratory Testing

Analysis of 12 ET sessions in 4 units of 3 companies, analyzing 88 failures [Itkonen 2013]

Knowledge types

- domain knowledge,
- system knowledge, and
- generic software engineering knowledge

EE TRANSACTIONS ON SOFTWARE ENGINEERING, VOL. 39, NO. 5, MAY 2013	
The Role of the Tester's Knowlodge	707
In Exploratory Software Testing	
Casper Lassenius, Member, IEEE, and	
Abstract—We present a field study on how testers use knowledge while performing exploratory software testing (ET) in industrial settings. We video recorded 12 testing sessions in four industrial organizations, having our subjects think aloud while performing their knowledge they utilized. We discuss how testers recognize failures based on their personal tests and what two of endineers and what two of endineers are subjected as the construction.	



Design

Oracle

Findings on Knowledge

- 1. ET is efficient since the testers **use different types of personal knowledge**, rather than restricting their focus
- 2. Failures are **incidentally found outside the actual target** features of the testing activities
- 3. A large fraction of the **failures do not require complicated test designs** to be provoked
- 4. Domain knowledge issues are **straightforward** to provoke, while **system or generic knowledge issues are more complicated** to provoke in terms of the number of interacting conditions.



Formal Training in Exploratory Testing

- Experiment with 20 professionals [Micalef 2016]
 - with/without formal test training
 - 20 injected faults in e-commerce system
 - up to 40 minute session with eye-tracking device



Do Exploratory Testing need Formal Training?

DISTRIBUTION OF BUGS FOUND ACCORDING TO CATEGORY AND THE TYPE OF TESTER THAT FOUND THEM.

Category	w/o training	w training	Total
Content Bugs	<u>35 (54%)</u>	30 (46%)	65
Input Validation Bugs <	6 (21%)	23 (79%)	29
Logical Bugs	5 (50%)	5 (50%)	10
Functional UI Bugs	10 (48%)	11 (52%)	21
Nonfunctional UI Bugs (1 (11%)	8 (89%)	9
	57	77	134



[Micalef 2016]

Recommendations on Exploratory Testing

Freestyle

Pure scripted



Domain issues Little repetition System issues Much repetition –> automation

Use both Train your testers





Actionable Exploratory Testing

Workshop agenda

- Introduction (10 min): research context, team & participants
- The principles of exploratory testing (5 min)
- Alternative types of test charters (20 min)
- Exercise: Write test cases according to test charter templates (15 + 25 min)
- Reflect on improvements (10 min)
- Closing (5 min): Sum up; next steps



SONY





Further reading

- Itkonen J, Mäntylä M, Lassenius C (2007) Defect Detection Efficiency: Test Case Based vs. Exploratory Testing. ESEM'07, pp 61–70
- Itkonen J., Mäntylä M. V. and Lassenius, C. The Role of the Tester's Knowledge in Exploratory Software Testing IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering (2013) 39(3):707–724
- Micalef M, Porter C, Borg A, Do Exploratory Testers Need Formal Training? An Investigation Using HCI Techniques, TAIC-PART, ICST Workshops 2016: 305-314
- Afzal W, Ghazi, A N, Itkonen, J, Torkar, R, Andrews A, Khurram Bhatti, An Experiment on the Effectiveness and Efficiency of Exploratory Testing, Empir Software Eng (2015) 20:844–878



Further contacts

Per Runeson

per.runeson@cs.lth.se

Elizabeth Bjarnason

elizabeth.bjarnason@cs.lth.se

Kai Peterson

kai.peterson@bth.se







Exploratory testing - black **and** white!

PER RUNESON, ELIZABETH BJARNASON, KAI PETERSEN



